Temporal Interference Affects Visual Field in Stimulus-Onset Asynchrony Task in Non-Human Primates

Jérémi Godbout[1], Keisuke Tsunoda[1], Sumientra Rampersad[2], Mat Yarossi[3], Alan D Dorval[1], Jan Kubanek[1]
[1] Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Utah [2] Department of Physics, UMass Boston [3] Department of Biomedical Engineering, Northeastern University

Utah Neural Modulation & Information Lab

Department of BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING, JOHN AND MARCIA PRICE COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

INTRODUCTION

- Temporal interference stimulation (TIS) was developed to modulate neural circuits deep in the human brain noninvasively
- TIS leverages two "carrier" frequencies offset by a small frequency difference that produces a neuromodulatory "beat" pattern at the difference frequency.
- This beat field can be steered into specific regions by adjusting the relative intensities of the carrier fields.
- Learning how to utilize this technique with the right set of parameters is critical to the future of the field and its utilization in real world applications.



Figure 1: Two pairs of scalp electrodes (I_1 and I_2) apply high-frequency currents at a small frequency difference that generate oscillating electric fields within the brain ($\mathbf{E_1}$ and $\mathbf{E_2}$) [1]

METHODS

Stimulus Onset Asynchrony Task

- Eye-tracking of which dot appears first
- Track visual bias and selection







RESULTS



Figure 2: Beat Frequency sweep from 2.5 - 40 Hz at a 0.8 current ratio (1.75 vs 1.4 mA) in task for NHP. 5 Hz showed the biggest difference in left-right stimulation therefore indicating a possible effect needing further investigation.



Figure 3: a) Example data of a 0.9 (top) and 0.8 (bottom) ratios in NHP data. b) Calculated optimal ratio in agar phantom setup with skull where 0.6 offers highest difference in field strength. c) Visualization of a leftward bias in stimulation as red indicates higher current values [2].

CONCLUSION

- This study employed a sigmoidal psychometric function to analyze leftward choice behavior
- Under ideal circumstances, a 0 ms delay would be expected to yield a 50% success rate, reflecting chance-level performance.
- The psychometric curves for 2.5 Hz stimulation exhibited no significant differences in slope or accuracy.
- At certain frequencies (5 Hz and 10 Hz), preliminary evidence suggests that the sigmoidal function flattened compared to both sham and pre-trial conditions.
- This observation suggests that TIS may impair NHPs' visual perception and/or disrupt their ability to perform the task effectively.



Figure 4: Trials of two optimal parameters (0.6 ratio with 5 Hz) show possible effect and require further investigation.

- To further elucidate the effects of TIS on targeted neural regions, additional investigations are warranted
- Left-right discrimination tasks, amplitude modulation studies, and exploration of different frequency parameters are future focus points.
- Such research would provide a more comprehensive understanding of TIS-induced behavioral and perceptual modifications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to Carter Lybbert and Caroline Garrett for training and handling help of the NHPs.

[1] E. Mirzakhalili, B. Barra, M. Capogrosso, and S. F. Lempka, "Biophysics of Temporal Interference Stimulation," *cels*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 557-572.e5, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2020.10.004.

[2] S. Rampersad *et al.*, "Prospects for transcranial temporal interference stimulation in humans: A computational study," *NeuroImage*, vol. 202, p. 116124, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116124.